MINUTES of the MEETING OF THE DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE held in the COUNCIL CHAMBER, FOLLATON HOUSE, TOTNES, on WEDNESDAY, 13 MARCH 2024

Members in attendance * Denotes attendance Ø Denotes apologies						
*	Cllr V Abbott	*	Cllr A Nix			
*	Cllr G Allen	*	Cllr D O'Callaghan			
Ø	Cllr L Bonham	*	Cllr G Pannell			
*	Cllr J Carson	*	Cllr S Rake			
*	Cllr J Hodgson	Ø	Cllr B Taylor			
*	Cllr M Long (Chairman)	*	Cllr T Edie (substituting for Cllr Bonham)			
*	Cllr M Steele (substituting for Cllr Taylor)					

Other Members also in attendance: Cllrs Dennis and Thomas

omoers in allendance and participaling.						
Item No:	Application No:	Officers:				
All agenda		Head of Development Management, Senior				
items	Planning Officers, Principal Housing Office					
		Specialists and Senior Democratic Services				
		Officer				

Officers in attendance and participating:

DM.56/24 MINUTES

The minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 14 February 2024 were confirmed as a correct record by the Committee.

DM.57/24 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Members and officers were invited to declare any interests in the items of business to be considered and none were made.

DM.58/24 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

The Chairman noted the list of members of the public, Town and Parish Council representatives, and Ward Members who had registered their wish to speak at the meeting.

DM.59/24 PLANNING APPLICATIONS

The Committee considered the details of the planning applications prepared by the relevant Case Officers as presented in the agenda papers, and considered the comments of Town and Parish Councils, together with other representations received, which were listed within the presented agenda reports, and **RESOLVED** that:

6a) 3650/23/FUL Land At Sx 782 623, Symonds Drive, Dartington Parish: Dartington Parish Council

Development: Application for the erection of a single residential dwelling (Affordable Discount Market)

Case Officer Update: The Case Officer summarised the key issues, namely that:

- Discount to remain at 80% of open market rate.
- Additional information submitted to confirm trees and biodiversity enhancements.

The Officer reported that this was policy compliant and would not ask for a viability study because the site was 30% compliant. The open market value for this property was £450,000.

During the debate, one Member felt there was need to challenge large developers and £450K was not affordable and not meeting local housing needs. Also felt it was wrong to approve this and would see further applications coming forward on spare pieces of land. This was an ancient hamlet being flooded, over developed and not affordable. Another Member felt garden sizes being reduced were acceptable within the JLP and this was infill housing.

Recommendation:		Conditional approval subject to completion of S106.		
Committee decision:		Conditional approval subject to completion 6		
Conditions:		Standard time limit Accord with plans No additional openings Parking to be provided prior to occupation Incidental use of garage Adherence to submitted lighting strategy Installation of EV charging point prior to occupation		
6b)	3048/21/FUL	Montgo, Maudlin Road, Totnes, TQ9 5TG		

Town: Totnes Development: Provision of single dwelling house (resubmission of

1668/20/FUL)

Case Officer Update: The Case Officer summarised the key issues, namely that:

- Principle of development.
- Design/scale/landscape.

- Neighbour amenity. •
- Highways and parking.
- Drainage.
- Land stability.
- Earlier refusal in 2020. •

In response to questions raised, it was reported that:

- The Drainage Officer felt that the permeable paving was acceptable.
- They would be requesting oversight for a practical solution for land stability.
- The Totnes Town Council had been consulted on 4 occasions. •

Having heard from speakers on behalf of the objector and the supporter, Members debated the application. During the debate, one Member raised concerns on the impact on neighbouring properties and felt that it was important to respect local distinctiveness and maintaining local identity. This site has the potential to be something wonderful and also felt that this was too big for the site, but they had dealt with the drainage and parking issues. Another Member felt this was not overbearing and the site was not being used and would now provide housing. It was also raised that the applicant had addressed the issues and therefore supported the officer's decision.

Recommendation:		Conditional Approval		
Com	mittee decision:	ecision: Conditional Approval to include a pre- commencement condition - a report on land stability to be approved by SHDC.		
Cond	litions:	 Time limit Approved plans Land stabilisation details Site levels Construction management plan Construction environmental management plan Access, parking and drainage External materials Boundary treatments Landscaping scheme EV charging point Bin storage Surface and foul water drainage Lindentified contamination PD Removal 		
6c)	3928/23/HHO	Eldoret, Galmpton Cross, Galmpton, TQ7		

annpion cross. 3920/23/ППС 3EH

Parish: South Huish

Development: Householder application for demolition of outbuildings & single storey extension to East elevation. Creation of enclosed balcony to top of existing garage.

Case Officer Update: The Case Officer summarised the key issues, namely:

- Highly visible from the public realm due to countryside location, topography of landscape and lack of surrounding tree cover.
- Extension was overbearing at 74m2 in footprint and 6.2m in height (300mm lower to ridge than previous two-storey proposal).
- Ridge higher the eaves of the two-storey host dwelling despite being single storey.
- Perception of a two-storey addition and rivals the primary of the parent dwelling.
- Inharmonious design which does not sit well with parent dwelling in terms of size, scale and fenestration.
- Excessive amount of glazing in this protected 'dark skies' landscape (approx. 50m2 increase) risks the property becoming a 'beacon'.

In response to questions raised, it was reported that there was no formal way to measure light pollution however there was a need to take a pragmatic view because of the location and the impact on dark skies. The north elevation would be less impactive but would still create a glow.

Having heard from speakers on behalf of the supporter, statement from the Parish Council and Ward Member, Members debated the application. During the debate, one Member supported the officer's recommendation. Other Members felt that this breached a number of polices, over dominant and subservient to the main building and could have an extension that blends better with the original building. Another Member felt although visible it was also quite well hidden by the wooden area and hedge. Concerns were raised on the glazing and closeness to the undeveloped coast.

Recommendation: Refusal

Committee decision: Refusal

DM.60/24 **PLANNING APPEALS UPDATE** Members noted the list of appeals as outlined in the presented agenda report.

DM.61/24 **UPDATE ON UNDETERMINED MAJOR APPLICATIONS** Members noted the update on undetermined major applications as outlined in the presented agenda report.

(Meeting commenced at 10.00 am and adjourned at 11.35am. Meeting concluded at 12.48 pm)

Chairman

Voting Analysis for Planning Applications – DM Committee 13 March 2024

Application No:	Site Address	Vote	Councillors who Voted Yes	Councillors who Voted No	Councillors who Voted Abstain	Absent
3650/23/FUL	Land At Sx 782 623, Symonds Drive, Dartington	Conditional approval subject to completion of S106.	Cllrs Abbott, Carson, Long, Nix, Rake and Steele (6)	Cllr Hodgson (1)	Cllrs Allen, Edie, O'Callaghan, and Pannell (4)	Cllrs Bonham and Taylor (2)
3048/21/FUL	Montgo, Maudlin Road, Totnes, TQ9 5TG	Conditional Approval	Cllrs Abbott, Allen, Carson, Edie, Long, Nix, Pannel, Rake and Steele (11)		Cllrs Hodgson and O'Callaghan (2)	Cllrs Bonham and Taylor (2)
3928/23/HHO	Eldoret, Galmpton Cross, Galmpton, TQ7 3ET	Refused	Cllrs Abbott, Allen, Carson, Edie, Hodgson, Long, Nix, O'Callaghan, Pannel and Steele (10)		Cllr Rake (1)	Cllrs Bonham and Taylor (2)